



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,460	03/01/2004	Yigal Bejerano	29250-000999/US 9258	
32498 7590 01/12/2007 CAPITOL PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW FIRM, PLLC ATTN: JOHN CURTIN P.O. BOX 1995 VIENNA, VA 22183			EXAMINER	
			TORRES, MARCOS L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/788,460	BEJERANO, YIGAL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marcos L. Torres	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be ting rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ma	arch 2004 and 15 June 2004					
	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •					
application from the International Bureau		od III tilio National Otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
Markey (A.)						
Attachment(s)		(DTO 110)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 15-6-04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
- aper rio(s/riviali Date 10-0-04.	o) 🗀 Ouler:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 8-11-2005 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 8, 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The term "substantially" in claim 8, 16 and 25 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benveniste US 20060039281A1.

As to claim 1, Benveniste discloses the method for providing a relative level of fairness and Quality of Service (QoS)[see par. 0032] in a wireless local area network (WLAN) network [see par. 0012] comprising: identifying a set of non-interfering access points (see par. 0017); allowing only the identified set of non-interfering access points to transmit during a Contention-Free Period (CFP) slot; and allowing all access points to transmit after the end of the CFP (see par. 0108).

Regarding claims 9 and 18 they are rejected for the same reasons already considered in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 2-8, 10-17 and 19-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benveniste in view of Shovodian US 20060050730A1.

As to claim 2, Benveniste discloses everything as disclosed above (see claim1) except for the method further comprising dividing the CFP into one or more slots. In an analogous art, Shvodian discloses the method further comprising dividing the CFP into one or more slots (see fig. 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine this teaching to share the CFP.

As to claim 3, Shvodian discloses the method further comprising: assigning one or more of the so divided slots to an access point which is allowed to transmit based on the number of users associated with the access point (see fig. 7).

As to claim 4, Shvodian discloses the method as in claim 3 further comprising: assigning the so divided slots to access points to maximize a lower bound of a slot-to-user ratio(see par. 0170-0171).

As to claim 5, Shvodian discloses the method further comprising: assigning at least one so divided slot to each access point (see par. 0170-0171).

As to claim 6, Shvodian discloses the method further comprising controlling each access point making up the identified set of non-interfering access points to ensure each access point begins and ends a transmission during the CFP slot (see par. 0170-0171).

As to claim 7, Shvodian discloses the method further comprising: transmitting an instruction to initiate transmission of one or more beacon messages to prevent users associated with access points from transmitting prior to the beginning of the CFP (see par. 0020).

As to claim 8, Shvodian discloses the method further comprising: transmitting an instruction to initiate transmission of one or more beacon messages such that no two adjacent APs in an interference graph may send beacon messages substantially simultaneously (see par. 0170-0171).

As to claim 17, Shvodian discloses the system further comprising one or more sets of non-interfering access points, each set of access points operable to: transmit during at least one Contention-Free Period (CFP) slot; and transmit after the end of the CFP (see par. 0170-0171).

Regarding claims 10-16 and 19-25, they are rejected for the same reasons of claims 2-8 shown above.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 10/788,460

Art Unit: 2617

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

571-273-8300

for formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-6:00 PM alt. Wednesday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-252-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2617

GEORGE ENG |
CUREBUSORY PATENT EXAMINER